arXiv:1608.04973v1 [math.AC] 17 Aug 2016

RETRACTS AND ALGEBRAIC PROPERTIES OF CUT ALGEBRAS

TIM RÖMER AND SARA SAEEDI MADANI

Abstract. We study cut algebras which are toric rings associated to graphs. The key idea is to consider suitable retracts to understand algebraic properties and invariants of such algebras like being a complete intersection, having a linear resolution, or the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity. Throughout the paper, we discuss several examples and pose some problems as well.

1. Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ with $V \neq \emptyset$ be a finite simple graph (i.e. without any loops, directed edges or multiple edges). Given two disjoint subsets A and B of V with $V = A \cup B$, we denote by $A|B$ the (unordered) partition of V by A and B. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field. Associated to G, we consider two polynomial rings over $\mathbb{K}$ defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} S_G &:= \mathbb{K}[q_{A|B} : A \cup B = V, A \cap B = \emptyset], \\ R_G &:= \mathbb{K}[s_{ij}, t_{ij} : \{i, j\} \in E]. \end{aligned}$$

Each partition $A|B$ of V defines a subset $\mathrm{Cut}(A|B)$ of the edge set E which is

$$\mathrm{Cut}(A|B) := \big\{\{i, j\} \in E : i \in A, j \in B \text{ or } i \in B, j \in A\big\}.$$

The set $\mathrm{Cut}(A|B)$ is called a *cut set* of G. Now, consider the following homomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_G \colon S_G &\to R_G \\ q_{A|B} &\mapsto \prod_{\{i,j\} \in \mathrm{Cut}(A|B)} s_{ij} \prod_{\{i,j\} \in E \setminus \mathrm{Cut}(A|B)} t_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the letters "s" and "t" can be thought as abbreviations for "separated" and "together", respectively. Observe that the kernel I_G of ϕ_G is a graded toric ideal which is called the *cut ideal* of G. We call the $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra of R_G, which is isomorphic to S_G/I_G, the *cut algebra* of G, and denote it by $\mathbb{K}[G]$.

Note that the convex hull of the exponent vectors in the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra generators of $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is affinely isomorphic to the *cut polytope* $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)$ which was studied a lot in combinatorial optimization; see, e.g., [2, 8, 9, 10, 21]. Cut polytopes are known to be full-dimensional polytopes which implies that the (Krull-)dimension of $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is equal to $|E| + 1$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05E40, 13C05; Secondary 52B20.

Key words and phrases. Cut algebra, cut polytope, algebra retract, minor, regularity, linear resolution, complete intersection.

The second author was supported by the German Research Council DFG-GRK 1916.

Cut ideals were introduced by Sturmfels and Sullivant in [28]. In particular, if G is a clique-sum of "small" subgraphs, then a description of a generating set and Gröbner bases of I_G were given in terms of the subgraphs. Here, by a clique-sum, roughly we mean gluing two graphs in a common complete subgraph, and by small, we mean gluing just in a vertex, an edge or a triangle. In the same paper, the authors presented some applications to algebraic statistics by relating cut ideals to binary graph models, Markov random fields and phylogenetic models on split systems as a generalization of binary Jukes-Cantor models.

One of the most important aspects of the aforementioned paper is presenting some conjectures. More precisely, it was conjectured that I_G is generated in degree at most two if and only if G is a K_4-minor-free graph; see [28, Conjecture 3.5]. Such graphs are also called series-parallel graphs. Another conjecture posed in [28] says that I_G is generated in at most degree 4 if and only if G is K_5-minor-free; see [28, Conjectures 3.6]. It is also conjectured that $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is normal and Cohen-Macaulay respectively if and only if G is K_5-minor-free; see [28, Conjectures 3.7]. The "only if" part of all conjectures had been verified in [28]. Recently these conjectures as well as some related questions were studied by many authors, e.g., in [3, 6, 12, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26]. Note that Engström gave an affirmative answer to the first conjecture in [12]. The other conjectures are still open. The most recent progress on the third conjecture is due to Ohsugi. In [22] he proved that normality of such algebras is a minor-closed property and he could reduce the relevant part of the third conjecture to 4-connected plane triangulations. Later on, in [23] he gave also a characterization of graphs whose cut algebras are normal and Gorenstein.

The authors of the present paper started the study of cut algebras by considering the questions for which pair of graphs G and H the cut algebra of H is an algebra retract of G. As was pointed out in [28, Lemma 3.2 (2)] this is indeed the case if H is obtained by an edge contraction from G which then was used, e.g., to discuss the highest degree of a minimal generator of the cut ideal in certain situations. Indeed, in this particular case, it can be shown that $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(H)$ is affinely isomorphic to a face of $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)$ which yields a particular nice kind of retracts which are called face retracts in the following (see Corollary 4.6).

Note that in [28, Lemma 3.2 (1)] it was also stated that for an induced subgraph H of G we have that $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(H)$ is affinely isomorphic to a face of $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)$. Unfortunately, this is not true and we provide a counterexample to this in Example 5.1. We would like to mention that in spite of the existence of this example, no further problems arise in the main results or other statements in [28] besides the one (see [28, Corollary 3.3 (1)]) that now it remains as an open problem whether the highest degree in a minimal system of generators of I_H does not exceed the one of I_G if H is an induced subgraph of G. We do not know any counterexample to this question, nor a proof of it. But we give certain (sufficient) conditions for an induced subgraph H of a graph G to induce a retract on the level of cut algebras (see Theorem 5.4). We give several applications of our results, concerning interesting algebraic properties and invariants of cut algebras and cut ideals. Beside this we also discuss several examples as well as posing some problems.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some definitions, well-known facts and statements, and notation. This section is divided into four subsections: graphs, cut sets and algebras, polytopal algebras, and algebra retracts.

In Section 3, we discuss some basic properties of cut algebras such as their different gradings from which we benefit in the next sections. In this section we also determine exactly when the cut ideal is zero and when it has linear forms as generators.

In Section 4, we give a new proof for the fact that the cut polytope of a graph obtained by an edge contraction of a graph G is affinely isomorphic to a face of the cut polytope of G (see [28, Lemma 3.2 (2)]), which implies the existence of a face retract on the level of cut algebras.

In Section 5, first we discuss the aforementioned counterexample. Then we introduce a certain type of minors of a graph which we call "neighborhood-minors", and we show that the cut algebras of such minors of a graph G are algebra retracts of the cut algebra of G. As special cases of such minors, we mention, e.g., clique-sums and vertex duplications. We also discuss several well-known classes of graphs whose all/some of induced subgraphs provide such an algebra retract, like chordal graphs, complete t-partite graphs, Ferrers graphs and ring graphs.

In Section 6, we give some applications of the results from the former sections. First, we introduce the notion of a "combinatorial retract" of a graph which in particular is also a minor, and is constructed via the edge contractions and neighborhood-minors repeatedly. Therefore, it yields algebra retracts of the cut algebra of the original graph. As a consequence we verify a weaker version of [28, Conjecture 3.1]. In this section, we determine when the cut ideal of a graph G is generated in a single degree. We also characterize all graphs whose cut algebras are complete intersection. Furthermore, we classify all connected graphs whose cut ideals have linear resolution, and in particular, those ones which have 2-linear resolution, namely those having regularity 2. In this section, we also discuss some examples for which we can get nontrivial lower bounds for their regularity by applying our results. We end this section by giving a necessary condition for the cut ideals which satisfy property N_1, and pose a problem based on our computational experiments on the converse of the latter statement.

In Section 7, some examples on some algebraic properties of cut ideals of certain graphs are presented which are essential in the literature like complete graphs, as well as those ones which are used and play important roles throughout this paper.

The authors thank Hidefumi Ohsugi and Bernd Sturmfels for valuable comments and suggestions for this paper.

2. Preliminaries and Notations

In this section we recall some definitions and facts which are used in the rest of the paper. We also fix some notations.

2.1. **Graphs.** Throughout the paper, all graphs are assumed to be simple with a non-empty set of vertices. If the set of edges of a graph is empty, then it means that the graph is a trivial graph which consists of isolated vertices.

For a graph G, we sometimes write $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ to denote the set of vertices and edges, respectively. In the following, we fix the notations to denote some certain types of graphs which are used throughout this paper. Here, $G = (V, E)$, and $n := |V|$.

- K_n: Complete graph.
- C_n: n-Cycle (cycle of length n).
- P_n: Path of length $n-1$.
- $K_{1,n-1}$: Star graph, which is the complete bipartite graph with partitions of cardinalities 1 and $n-1$.
- $G \setminus e$: the graph with the vertex set V and the edge set $E \setminus e$ where $e \in E$.
- $G = G_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup G_r$: disjoint union of graphs $G_1, \ldots, G_r$.
- rG: $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{r} G$, namely disjoint union of r copies of G.

Note that, because of symmetry in the complete graph K_n, we simply mean by $K_n \setminus e$ the graph which is obtained by deletion of an arbitrary edge of K_n.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and $v \in V$. Then

$$N_G(v) := \{w \in V : w \text{ is a neighbor of } v \text{ in } G\},$$

where a vertex $w \in V$ is called a *neighbor* of v in G, if it is adjacent to v. Moreover,

$$N_G[v] := N_G(v) \cup \{v\} \text{ and } N_G(T) := \cup_{v \in T} N_G(v),$$

for any non-empty subset T of V. Then, the *degree* of a vertex v of G is defined to be $\deg_G(v) := |N_G(v)|$. Let W be a nonempty subset of V. Recall that an *induced subgraph* of G on W, denoted by G_W, is the graph on the vertex set W whose edges are exactly those edges of G whose vertices are in W. If the induced subgraph G_W is a complete graph on W, then it is called a *clique* of G.

2.2. **Cut sets and algebras.** Now, keeping in mind the notations introduced in Section 1, we fix some further notations. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph on n vertices, with a partition $V = A \cup B$ of its vertex set. Then, clearly, $B = A^c := V \setminus A$ is the complement of A which is just determined by A. So we set

$$\mathrm{Cut}(A) = \mathrm{Cut}(A^c) := \mathrm{Cut}(A|A^c),$$

and also for the variables of the polynomial ring S_G, we put

$$q_A := q_{A|A^c}.$$

In particular, q_A and q_{A^c} are the same. Moreover, $\phi_G(q_A)$ is by the definition a monomial in R_G, which we denote by

$$u_A := \phi_G(q_A).$$

In particular, $u_A = u_{A^c}$. Then we have $\mathbb{K}[G] = \mathbb{K}[u_A : A \subseteq V]$.

Note that there are 2^{n-1} distinct partitions for V which bijectively correspond to the variables of the polynomial ring S_G. But, the generators of the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathbb{K}[G]$ do not always bijectively correspond to them unless G is connected (see Proposition 3.2). In addition, in some explicit cases, if $A = \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}$, then we write $q_{i_1 \ldots i_k}$ and $u_{i_1 \ldots i_k}$ instead of $q_{\{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}}$ and $u_{\{i_1, \ldots, i_k\}}$, respectively.

Finally, we would like to point out that the cut ideal I_G is a prime ideal generated by some *pure binomials* in S_G, since it is a toric ideal. Here, by a pure binomial in a polynomial ring we mean a binomial of the form $u-v$ where u and v are monomials.

2.3. **Polytopal algebras.** Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a polytope, that is $P = \operatorname{conv}(v_1, \ldots, v_r)$, the convex hull of $v_1, \ldots, v_r \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The *dimension* of P is the dimension of the *affine hull* $\operatorname{aff}(P)$, which is the smallest affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^d$ containing P. Moreover, a *morphism* of polytopes P and Q is a map $\varphi\colon P \to Q$ that can be extended to an affine map $\tilde{\varphi}\colon \operatorname{aff}(P) \to \operatorname{aff}(Q)$. In particular, the polytopes P and Q are said to be *affinely isomorphic* if the morphism φ is bijective.

We also recall that a *polyhedron* is the set of solutions of a linear system of inequalities, and a polytope is equivalently a *bounded polyhedron*.

A subset F of a polytope P is a *face* of P if F is the intersection of P with a hyperplane H (a *supporting hyperplane*), such that P is entirely contained in one of the two half-spaces of $\mathbb{R}^d$ given by H. Observe that each face of a polytope is a polytope itself. Faces of dimension 0 and $\dim P$ are called *vertices* and *facets*, respectively. Moreover, the empty set and P itself are trivial faces.

A polytope P is called a *lattice polytope* if its vertices are lattice points of the integral lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. The set of lattice points in P are denoted by L_P.

Now, let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice polytope. Associated with P is a standard graded $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathbb{K}[P]$ (up to graded isomorphism) called *polytopal algebra* whose generators correspond bijectively to the lattice points in P. More precisely,

$$\mathbb{K}[P] = \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{a}} z : \mathbf{a} \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d]$$

is a $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra of $\mathbb{K}[y_1, \ldots, y_d, z]$ where

$$\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{a}} := y_1^{a_1} \cdots y_d^{a_d} \text{ for } \mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_d).$$

Here, standard graded means graded and generated in degree 1.

2.4. **Algebra retracts.** First we recall the well-known definition of an algebra retract of a graded algebra. If not stated otherwise, by "graded" we mean the standard $\mathbb{Z}$-graded (see Section 3).

Definition 2.1. Let A and B be graded $\mathbb{K}$-algebras and let $\iota\colon A \to B$ be an injective homogeneous $\mathbb{K}$-algebra homomorphism. Then A is called an *algebra retract* of B, if there exists a homogeneous (surjective) homomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras $\pi\colon B \to A$ such that $\pi \circ \iota = \mathrm{id}_A$.

It follows clearly from the definition that if A, B and C are graded $\mathbb{K}$-algebras where A is an algebra retract of B, and B is an algebra of C, then A is an algebra retract of C. Note that we do not insist that the homogeneous homomorphisms are of degree 0. Moreover, in this paper, we do not consider more general kinds of algebra retracts, i.e. not graded ones.

Several algebraic properties, such as being regular, a complete intersection and Koszul, are known to be preserved by algebra retracts (see for example [13] and [24]). Moreover, in [24, Corollary 2.5] it is shown that the graded Betti numbers do not increase by retraction. The precise statement is the following.

Proposition 2.2. ([24, Corollary 2.5]) *Let $R = A/I$ and $S = B/J$ be graded $\mathbb{K}$-algebras where A and B are polynomial rings over a field $\mathbb{K}$. Suppose that R is an algebra retract of S, and I and J are graded ideals containing no linear forms. Then:*

(a) $\beta_{i,j}^A(I) \leq \beta_{i,j}^B(J)$ *for all* i, j.
(b) $\operatorname{reg}_A(I) \leq \operatorname{reg}_B(J)$.
(c) $\operatorname{proj\,dim}_A(I) \leq \operatorname{proj\,dim}_B(J)$.

Here, we recall that for a polynomial ring $R = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and a finitely generated R-module M, in general, the *graded Betti numbers* are defined as:

$$\beta_{i,j}^R(M) := \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(\mathbb{K}, M)_j.$$

The *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity* and the *projective dimension* of M are defined as:

$$\operatorname{reg}_R(M) := \max\{j - i : \beta_{i,j}^R(M) \neq 0\},$$
$$\operatorname{proj\,dim}_R(M) := \max\{i : \beta_{i,j}^R(M) \neq 0 \text{ for some } \ j\}.$$

Note that the properties of being Gorenstein and Cohen-Macaulay are not preserved necessarily by algebra retracts, (see, e.g., [13, Example 3.9]).

As an example of well-known algebra retracts one can mention face retracts. Let P be a polytope. Given a face $F \subseteq P$, it follows from [5, Corollary 4.34] that there is a natural (standard graded) algebra retract $\mathbb{K}[F]$ of $\mathbb{K}[P]$ with the surjective homomorphism

$$\pi \colon \mathbb{K}[P] \to \mathbb{K}[F] \tag{1}$$

with $\pi(\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{a}} z) = 0$ if $\mathbf{a} \in L_P \setminus F$. Such an algebra retract is called a *face retract*.

At the end of this section, we refer the reader to, e.g., [5, 7, 27] for more details about toric algebras and related topics.

3. Some basic properties of Cut Algebras

In this section, we provide some fundamental properties of cut ideals and algebras.

First we focus on different types of gradings that one can associate to cut algebras. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with $E = \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ where $m \geq 1$, and let $A \subset V$. We are interested in the following gradings of the cut algebra of G which imply that the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra homomorphism ϕ_G is homogeneous, and hence I_G is a graded ideal of S_G with respect to the desired graded rings.

- *The standard $\mathbb{Z}$-grading*: We put $\deg(q_A) = \deg(u_A) := 1$. Then $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is a standard graded $\mathbb{K}$-algebra.
- *The $\mathbb{Z}^{2|E|}$-(multi-)grading*: We set $\operatorname{mdeg}(q_A) = \operatorname{mdeg}(u_A) := \varepsilon_A$, where ε_A is a vector in $\mathbb{Z}^{2|E|}$ such that for $i = 1, \ldots, m$,

$$(\varepsilon_A)_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e_i \in \mathrm{Cut(A)}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(\varepsilon_A)_{m+i} = 1 - (\varepsilon_A)_i.$$

Here, mdeg stands for the *multidegree*.

- *The (s,t)-bi-grading*: We define the *s-degree* part as
$$\deg_s(q_A) = \deg_s(u_A) := |\mathrm{Cut(A)}|,$$
the *t-degree* part as
$$\deg_t(q_A) = \deg_t(u_A) := 2|E| - |\mathrm{Cut(A)}|,$$
and
$$\deg_{s,t}(q_A) := \big(\deg_s(q_A),\ \deg_t(q_A)\big).$$

If not stated otherwise, throughout the paper we use the standard $\mathbb{Z}$-grading. Next, we recall dimension and height formulas from the literature which will be used in the sequel. Indeed, for a graph $G = (V, E)$ with $|V| = n$, it is known that

$$\dim S_G/I_G = |E| + 1, \tag{2}$$

(see, e.g., [5, Proposition 4.22] together with the fact that $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)$ has dimension $|E|$). It follows that

$$\mathrm{height}\, I_G = 2^{n-1} - |E| - 1 \tag{3}$$

and thus

$$\mathrm{proj\,dim}_{S_G} S_G/I_G \geq 2^{n-1} - |E| - 1, \tag{4}$$

where the equality holds if and only if $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

One of the main points which has been considered in [28] is the highest degree of a generator in a minimal system of generators of the cut ideal of a graph. Moreover, there is a characterization of the graphs whose cut ideals are generated in degree ≤ 2.

Here, we provide the two following facts which determine when either $I_G = \langle 0 \rangle$ or there are linear forms in the generating set of a cut ideal. The proofs are straightforward, but not discussed in the literature.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with $|E| \geq 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *$I_G = \langle 0 \rangle$, i.e. $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is regular;*
(b) *$G = K_2$ or $G = K_3$.*

Proof. Suppose that $|V| = n$. To verify the statement, it is enough to show that height $I_G = 0$ if and only if $G = K_2$ or K_3.

If $n = 2$, then $G = K_2$ for which height $I_G = 0$ by (3).

If $n = 3$, then G can be K_3 or P_3 or $K_2 \sqcup K_1$. In this case, by (3), height $I_G = 0$ if and only if $G = K_3$.

For $n \geq 4$, we show that $2^{n-1} > \binom{n}{2}+1$, which implies that $2^{n-1} > |E|+1$, since $\binom{n}{2}$ is the number of edges of the complete graph K_n. Then it follows that height $I_G \neq 0$, and we are done. For $n = 4$, the desired inequality clearly holds. Now, we assume $n \geq 5$. Note that $\binom{n}{2}$ is the number of subsets of V of cardinality 2, and 2^{n-1} is the number of all partitions of V. Since $n \geq 5$, there is no partition of V into two subsets of cardinalities 2. So, each subset of cardinality 2 of V determines exactly one partition for V. Besides these partitions there are several other partitions for V, and hence the desired inequality holds. $\square$

After knowing the simple structure of the cut algebras associated to K_2 and K_3 in Proposition 3.1, it worths to understand better the cut algebras of other complete graphs. The cases of the next two small complete graphs, namely K_4 and K_5, we investigate some of their properties in Section 7 (see Examples 7.1 and 7.2, and Problem 7.3). These cut algebras are of special interest, because there are interesting known results and conjectures on cut ideals in which these two complete graphs play prominent roles, (see, e.g., [12] and [28]).

In the following, we observe that the only case where linear forms belong to a generating set of a cut ideal is when the graph is disconnected.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with $|E| \geq 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) $(I_G)_1 \neq 0$;
(b) *G is disconnected.*

Proof. (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) follows from [20, Proposition 5.2] (see also Proposition 6.7).

Now, we prove (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). Suppose there is a generator of degree 1 in I_G, namely $q_A - q_C$ for two different partitions given by subsets A and C of V. Therefore, $\phi_G(q_A - q_C) = 0$, and hence $u_A = u_C$. Using the bi-grading of $\mathbb{K}[G]$, it follows that $\mathrm{Cut}(A) = \mathrm{Cut}(C)$. If G is connected, then one can observe that any cut set of edges is given by a unique partition of V. Therefore, since the partitions given by A and C are different, it follows that G is a disconnected graph. □

We end this section by a discussion of the projective dimension of cut algebras. By (4), it is reasonable to know the graphs whose cut algebras have small projective dimension. In the following, we discuss this question. Indeed, in Proposition 3.1 the graphs whose cut algebra has projective dimension 0 have been determined.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with $|V| = n$ and $|E| \geq 1$, and let $p := \operatorname{proj\,dim}_{S_G} S_G/I_G$. Then we have:*

(a) *If $n \leq 5$ and $G \neq K_5$, then $p = 2^{n-1} - |E| - 1$, and hence $p \leq 14$.*
(b) *If $G = K_5$, then $p = 15$.*
(c) *If $n = 6$, then $p \geq 16$.*
(d) *If $n \geq 7$, then $p \geq 42$.*

Proof. (a) Suppose $n \leq 5$ and $G \neq K_5$. Then, by [22, Example 3.7], we have that $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is normal. This, together with a theorem of Hochster in [19] (see also, e.g., [5, Theorem 6.10]) implies that $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is Cohen-Macaulay, and hence in (4) the equality occurs. So $p = 2^{n-1} - |E| - 1$. This obviously implies that $p \leq 14$.

(b) This part follows from Example 7.2.

(c) Suppose that $n = 6$. Then by (4) we get $p \geq 2^5 - \binom{6}{2} - 1 = 16$, since $|E| \leq \binom{6}{2}$.

(d) Suppose $n \geq 7$. Then, similar to the previous part, by (4) we get $p \geq 2^{n-1} - \binom{n}{2} - 1$, since $|E| \leq \binom{n}{2}$. Note that, since $n \geq 7$, each subset of cardinality 2 of V determines exactly one partition for V. Therefore, $2^{n-1} - \binom{n}{2}$ is exactly the number of those partitions $V = A \cup B$ where $|A|, |B| \neq 2$. If $|A| = 0$ or 1 or 3, then $|B| \neq |A|$ and $|B| \neq 2$, since $n \geq 7$. Thus, there are at least $1 + 7 + \binom{7}{3} = 43$ partitions with the latter property, and hence $p \geq 2^{n-1} - \binom{n}{2} - 1 \geq 42$. □

Remark 3.4. We would like to remark that by Proposition 3.3, we see that for a given k with $k = 0, \ldots, 15$, there is at least one graph whose cut algebra is of projective dimension k. Those which have no isolated vertices are presented in Table 1. Furthermore, it follows from Proposition 3.3 together with (4) that the only graph whose cut algebra could have projective dimension 16 is K_6. But, based on computations in [28, Table 1], the cut algebra $\mathbb{K}[K_6]$ is not Cohen-Macaulay. So that projective dimension is bigger than 16 in this case, which implies that projective dimension 16 can not occur among the cut algebras.

4. Face retracts of cut polytopes

In this section we recall some properties of cut polytopes of graphs and afterwards discuss certain face retracts of cut algebras arising from those polytopes.

First we recall the definition of a cut polytope. For more information about cut polytopes, we refer the reader, e.g., to [10].

Definition 4.1. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. Then the *cut polytope* $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)$ of G is the convex hull of the *cut vectors* $\delta_A \in \mathbb{R}^{|E|}$ of G, which are defined as

$$\delta_A(\{i,j\}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |A \cap \{i,j\}| = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for any $A \subseteq V$ and $\{i,j\} \in E$.

Note that there is a natural bijection between the cut vectors and the cut sets of G. Moreover, observe that the set of vertices of the polytope $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)$ coincides with the set of all cut vectors of G, since cut polytopes are $\{0,1\}$-polytopes. So, if G is a connected graph, then by Proposition 3.2 we get the well-known fact that $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)$ has exactly 2^{n-1} vertices.

In general, we have that

$$|E| + 1 \leq \text{number of vertices of } \mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G) \leq 2^{n-1},$$

where the first inequality follows from the fact that $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)$ is full-dimensional.

Next we observe how the polytopal algebra associated to $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)$ is related to the cut algebra of the underlying graph.

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a graph. Then there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{K}[G] \cong \mathbb{K}[\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)]$$

as standard graded $\mathbb{K}$-algebras.

Proof. We define:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\colon \mathbb{K}[G] &\to \mathbb{K}[\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)] \\ u_A = \prod_{\{i,j\} \in \mathrm{Cut}(A)} s_{ij} \prod_{\{i,j\} \in E \setminus \mathrm{Cut}(A)} t_{ij} &\mapsto z \prod_{\{i,j\} \in \mathrm{Cut}(A)} s_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $A \subseteq V(G)$.

Then, it is easily seen that φ is well-defined and a homogeneous surjective homomorphism. Injectivity of φ follows from the fact that for each $A \subseteq V(G)$, variables t_{ij} appearing in u_A as factors are uniquely determined by A. □

An operation from graph theory which has played an important role in the study of cut polytopes as well as cut algebras is taking *minors*. To recall the definition of a minor of a graph, we need to recall two other operations, namely "edge deletion" and "edge contraction".

First recall that a graph H is said to be obtained by an *edge deletion* from a graph G, if $H = G \setminus e$ for some $e \in E(G)$.

Next we recall the definition of the edge contraction operation. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph, and let $e = \{u, v\} \in E$. A graph $G' = (V', E')$ is said to be obtained by an *edge contraction* from G if

$$V' := (V \setminus \{u, v\}) \cup \{w\},$$

and

$$E' := \big\{e \in E : e \cap N_G(\{u, v\}) = \emptyset\big\} \cup \big\{\{w, z\} : z \in V' \cap N_G(\{u, v\})\big\}.$$

This means that the edge contraction operation (relative to an edge e) is constructed as follows. The edge e is removed from G and its two vertices, u and v, are merged into a new vertex w, where the edges incident to w in the graph G' each corresponds to an edge incident to either u or v.

Note that in some context, it is also allowed to get multiple edges after the contraction of an edge, but here we always consider the simple graph (without any multiple edges) obtained by this operation.

For example, by contracting any edge of the cycle C_n, we obtain the cycle C_{n-1}. Also, by contracting any edge of the complete graph K_n, one obtains the complete graph K_{n-1}.

Finally, we recall the definition of a minor of a graph. Let G be a graph. Then a *minor* of G is a graph obtained from G by applying a sequence of the operations edge deletion and edge contraction.

Moreover, given another graph H, then G is called *H-minor-free* if it does not have any minor isomorphic to H.

Remark 4.3. Observe that if a graph has a complete graph as a minor, then this minor can be obtained just by a sequence of edge contractions (see also [28, page 699]).

Remark 4.4. It was already mentioned in [28, page 699] that $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G \setminus e)$, for some $e \in E(G)$, is not corresponding to a face of $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)$, so that one does not expect to get a face retract by edge deletions. But, even more generally, $\mathbb{K}[G \setminus e]$ is not necessarily an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G]$. For example, let $G = C_4$, and let e be any of its edges. Then $G \setminus e = P_4$. But, Proposition 3.3 (a) shows that

$$\operatorname{proj\,dim}_{S_{C_4}}(I_{C_4}) = 3 < \operatorname{proj\,dim}_{S_{P_4}}(I_{P_4}) = 4,$$

which implies by Proposition 2.2 that $\mathbb{K}[P_4]$ is not an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[C_4]$.

The above remark also implies that graph minors do not provide algebra retracts in general, but still in some cases one gets retracts. One of these cases is when the minors are just obtained by edge contractions repeatedly. Indeed, the statement in [28, Lemma 3.2 (2)] says that if G' is a graph obtained by an edge contraction from the graph G, then $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G')$ is a "face" of $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)$. More precisely, the next proposition holds. Since there is a problem with some parts of the proof of [28, Lemma 3.2] (see Example 5.1) and for the convenience of the reader we provide here a precise proof of the mentioned fact. In the next section, we deal with another case which gives an algebra retract.

Proposition 4.5. *Let G be a graph, and assume that a graph G' is obtained from G by an edge contraction. Then $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G')$ is affinely isomorphic to a face of $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)$.*

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$ with $|V| = n$, and $e = \{u, v\} \in E$. Assume that $G' = (V', E')$ is the graph obtained by contracting the edge e, and merging the vertices u, v into w. Also suppose that $N_G(u) \cap N_G(v) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_p\}$; here p can be also 0, which means that u and v have no common neighbors in G.

Since the coordinates x_i of a vector x in $\mathbb{R}^{|E'|}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{|E|}$ are corresponding to the edges of G' and G, respectively, we can without loss of generality assume that the coordinates are organized as follows:

For $\mathbb{R}^{|E'|}$, we associate

- the coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_p$ to the edges $\{w, v_i\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p$,
- the coordinates $x_{p+1}, \ldots, x_{|E'|}$ to the edges which do not contain w (arbitrarily ordered).

For $\mathbb{R}^{|E|}$, we associate

- the coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_p$ to the edges $\{u, v_i\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p$,
- the coordinates $x_{p+1}, \ldots, x_{|E'|}$ to the edges which do not contain w (ordered in the same way as the"corresponding" coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{|E'|}$),
- the coordinate $x_{|E'|+1}$ to the edge e,
- the coordinates $x_{|E'|+2}, \ldots, x_{|E|}$ to the edges $\{v, v_i\}$ with for $i = 1, \ldots, p$.

Now, let H be the hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^{|E|}$ given by $x_e = 0$, and let $F := \mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G) \cap H$. Then it follows that F is a face of $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)$, as $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)$ is contained in one of the half-spaces defined by H.

We show that $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G')$ is affinely isomorphic to F. For simplicity, let $Q := \mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G') \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{|E'|}$.

Suppose that $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{R}^{|E'|}$ are the vertices of Q. Here $|E'| + 1 \leq r \leq 2^{n-2}$ where $|V'| = n - 1$. In addition, assume that α_0 is the zero vector (corresponding to $\mathrm{Cut}(\emptyset)$), and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{|E'|}$ provide a basis for $\mathrm{aff}(Q)$ as a vector space. Here, $\mathrm{aff}(Q) = \mathrm{span}(Q)$, since zero is an element of $\mathrm{aff}(Q)$.

For simplicity, for any

$$\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_p, \beta_{p+1}, \ldots, \beta_{|E'|}) \in \mathbb{R}^{|E'|},$$

we write

$$\beta^{(p)} := (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_p).$$

In particular, for each

$$\alpha_i = (\alpha_{i1}, \ldots, \alpha_{ip}, \alpha_{ip+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i|E'|}),$$

where $i = 0, \ldots, r$, we set

$$\alpha_i = (\alpha_i^{(p)}, \alpha_{ip+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i|E'|}).$$

Now, we define the following linear map:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \tilde{\varphi}\colon \operatorname{aff}(Q) \cong \mathbb{R}^{|E'|} & \to & \operatorname{aff}(F) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{|E|} \\ \alpha_i & \mapsto & (\alpha_i, 0, \alpha_i^{(p)}), \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, |E'|. \end{array}$$

Assume that $\alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^{|E'|} c_j \alpha_j$, for $i = |E'| + 1, \ldots, r$, where $c_j \in \mathbb{R}$ for all j. Then it follows that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{|E'|} c_j \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{|E'|} c_j (\alpha_j, 0, \alpha_j^{(p)}) = (\sum_{j=1}^{|E'|} c_j \alpha_j, 0, \sum_{j=1}^{|E'|} c_j \alpha_j^{(p)}),$$

which implies that the map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \varphi\colon Q & \to & F \\ \beta & \mapsto & (\beta, 0, \beta^{(p)}), \end{array}$$

for all $\beta \in Q$, can be extended to $\tilde{\varphi}$. So, φ is a morphism between Q and F. Trivially φ is injective.

Now it remains to see that φ is surjective. First, note that

$$F = \operatorname{conv}(\gamma \in \operatorname{Cut}^{\square}(G) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{|E|} : \gamma_{|E'|+1} = 0).$$

Thus, it is enough to see that for any vertex γ of $\operatorname{Cut}^{\square}(G)$ with $\gamma_{|E'|+1} = 0$, there exists an element $\beta \in Q$ such that $\varphi(\beta) = \gamma$. Since such a vertex is indeed a cut vector of G, it follows that the corresponding partition $A|A^c$ of V has the property that u and v both belong either to A or to A^c. Without loss of generality assume that $u, v \in A$.

Note that for each $i = 1, \ldots, p$, the two edges $\{u, v_i\}$ and $\{v, v_i\}$ either both belong to $\operatorname{Cut}(A)$ or both do not belong to this set. By setting

$$A' := (A \setminus \{u, v\}) \cup \{w\},$$

we get $A'|A^c$ as a partition of V'. Then, this defines a cut vector $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{|E'|}$ and we have $\varphi(\beta) = (\beta, 0, \beta^{(p)}) = \gamma$, as desired. □

As a consequence of the latter result we get the following face retracts for cut algebras:

Corollary 4.6. *Let G be a graph and let G' be a graph obtained by an edge contraction from G. Then $\mathbb{K}[G']$ is a face retract of $\mathbb{K}[G]$.*

Proof. Here we use the notation used in the proof of Proposition 4.5, where we showed that $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G')$ is affinely isomorphic to a face F of $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)$ via the aforementioned map φ. This isomorphism induces the following isomorphism between the corresponding polytopal algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}\colon \mathbb{K}[\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G')] &\to \mathbb{K}[F] \\ \mathbf{y}^{\beta} z &\mapsto \mathbf{y}^{(\beta,0,\beta^{(p)})} z, \end{aligned}$$

for all $\beta \in \mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G')$.

On the other hand, according to (1), $\mathbb{K}[F]$ is a face retract of $\mathbb{K}[\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)]$ which implies with the isomorphism $\hat{\varphi}$, that $\mathbb{K}[\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G')]$ is a face retract of $\mathbb{K}[\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(G)]$ as well.

Finally, by applying the isomorphism given in Lemma 4.2, we get that $\mathbb{K}[G']$ is an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G]$. □

As examples, we have that $\mathbb{K}[C_{n-1}]$ and $\mathbb{K}[K_{n-1}]$ are algebra retracts of $\mathbb{K}[C_n]$ and $\mathbb{K}[K_n]$, respectively.

Finally, we discuss a similar operation as edge contraction on graphs. Indeed, after having seen this nice property of the edge contraction, one may think of the same property for another operation called *vertex identification* or *vertex contraction*. In vertex identification of a graph $G = (V, E)$, two different (not necessarily adjacent) vertices u and v are merged into a new vertex w and a new graph $G' = (V', E')$ is provided with

$$V' = (V \setminus \{u, v\}) \cup \{w\}, \text{ and}$$

$$E' = \big\{e \in E : e \cap N_G(\{u, v\}) = \emptyset\big\} \cup \big\{\{w, z\} : z \in V' \cap N_G(\{u, v\})\big\}.$$

In this case also we remove any possible resulting multiple edges from the obtained graph to get a simple graph. In the case that u and v are adjacent, the vertex contraction of G is the same as the contraction of the edge $\{u, v\}$.

Now, let G and G' be as above. Then the cut algebra $\mathbb{K}[G']$ is not necessarily an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G]$. For example, K_4 can be obtained by a vertex identification from the graph G_6 which is shown in Figure 1. But, $\mathbb{K}[K_4]$ is not an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G_6]$, because $\mathbb{K}[K_4]$ is a complete intersection while $\mathbb{K}[G_6]$ is not (see Theorem 6.9).

5. Retracts of cut algebras from induced subgraphs

In this section, we discuss the problem under which assumption an induced subgraph of a graph G can provide an algebra retract of the cut algebra of G.

Note that an induced subgraph of a graph is also a minor of it which can not be obtained only by edge contractions. For example, C_4 has P_3 an induced subgraph which can not be obtained only by applying some edge contractions. We come back to this specific example in more details in the sequel.

It was stated in [28, Lemma 3.1 (1)] that the cut polytope of an induced subgraph of a graph is (affinely isomorphic to) a face of the cut polytope of the original graph. This statement is not true in this generality, as will be discussed in the following. We are grateful to Hidefumi Ohsugi for showing us the next example.

Example 5.1. The cut polytope of P_3 is a square. The cut polytope of C_4 is the 4-dimensional crosspolytope, by [28, Example 1.2], which, e.g., also can be deduced from [23, Proposition 1.7]. Observe that crosspolytopes are simplicial. In particular, all 2-faces of $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(C_4)$ are triangles, and the square $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(P_3)$ can not be (affinely isomorphic to) a face of $\mathrm{Cut}^{\square}(C_4)$.

Hence, the cut algebra of an induced subgraph of a graph does not provide necessarily a face retract. But, as we show in the sequel, there are still large classes of induced subgraphs which provide algebra retracts. First, we need the following definition.

Definition 5.2. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph where $V = W \cup W'$ with $W \cap W' = \emptyset$ and $W, W' \neq \emptyset$ and let $H = G_W$. Suppose that there exists a vertex $v \in W$ with $W \cap N_G(W') \subseteq N_H[v]$. Then we say that H is a *neighborhood-minor* of G.

Note that any neighborhood-minor of a graph G is an induced subgraph, and hence a minor of G. In addition, note that in the above example, P_3 is a neighborhood-minor of C_4.

Remark 5.3. Despite the notion of neighborhood-minor as defined in this paper is not a classical notion in graph theory, in the special case $|W'| = 1$ it has been previously considered in studying cut polytopes for different purposes. Namely the special case occurred in the study of lifting the defining inequalities of facets of an induced subgraph to those of the original graph (see, e.g., [8, Theorem 2]).

The next theorem is one of the main results of this paper.

Theorem 5.4. *Let G be a graph, and let H be a neighborhood-minor of G. Then $\mathbb{K}[H]$ is an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G]$.*

Proof. Let $V = W \cup W'$ be the set of vertices of G where $W \cap W' = \emptyset$ and $W, W' \neq \emptyset$, and such that $H = G_W$. Since H is a neighborhood-minor of G, there exists a vertex $v \in W$ with $W \cap N_G(W') \subseteq N_H[v]$.

First we define a natural embedding of S_H into S_G. Let $A|A^c$ be a partition of W, where we may assume that $v \in A$. Then $A \cup W'|A^c$ is a partition of V. Thus, by mapping q_A to $q_{A \cup W'}$, we get a natural embedding λ of S_H into S_G, which induces the homogeneous homomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras

$$\overline{\lambda} \colon S_H/I_H \longrightarrow S_G/I_G.$$

It is enough to show that $\overline{\lambda}$ is well-defined. For this, we need to prove that

$$\lambda(I_H) \subseteq I_G.$$

Let $f = \prod_{i=1}^{d} q_{A_i} - \prod_{i=1}^{d} q_{C_i}$ be a generator of I_H for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$, where we may assume that $v \in A_i$ and $v \in C_i$ for all partitions $A_i|{A_i}^c$ and $C_i|{C_i}^c$ of W. We have that $\phi_H(f) = 0$, which implies by the definition of ϕ_H and using the bi-grading of $\mathbb{K}[H]$ that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{d} \mathrm{Cut}(A_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{d} \mathrm{Cut}(C_i). \tag{5}$$

We also know

$$\lambda(f) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} q_{A_i \cup W'} - \prod_{i=1}^{d} q_{C_i \cup W'}.$$

It suffices to show that $\phi_G(\lambda(f)) = 0$ which is by definition of the map ϕ_G and using the bi-grading of $\mathbb{K}[G]$ equivalent to the fact that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{d} \mathrm{Cut}(A_i \cup W') = \bigcup_{i=1}^{d} \mathrm{Cut}(C_i \cup W').$$

Suppose that $e = \{\ell, k\} \in \mathrm{Cut}(A_i \cup W')$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$, and assume that $k \in A_i \cup W'$ and $\ell \in A_i^c$. We distinguish the following two cases:

Case (1). Suppose that $k \in A_i$. Then $e \in \mathrm{Cut}(A_i)$ which implies by (5) that $e \in \mathrm{Cut}(C_j)$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. It is clear that $\mathrm{Cut}(C_j) \subseteq \mathrm{Cut}(C_j \cup W')$. Thus, it follows that $e \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{d} \mathrm{Cut}(C_i \cup W')$.

Case (2). Suppose that $k \in W'$. Note that $\ell \in W$, since $\ell \in A_i^c \subseteq W$. Therefore $\ell \neq v$, and $\ell \in N_H[v]$, because by assumption we have $W \cap N_G(W') \subseteq N_H[v]$. It follows that $\ell \in N_H(v) \cap A_i^c$ which implies that $\{v, \ell\} \in \mathrm{Cut}(A_i \cup W')$. By Case (1), we have $\{v, \ell\} \in \mathrm{Cut}(C_j \cup W')$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$. Thus, $e = \{k, \ell\} \in \mathrm{Cut}(C_j \cup W')$ as well, and hence $e \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{d} \mathrm{Cut}(C_i \cup W')$.

We get $\bigcup_{i=1}^{d} \mathrm{Cut}(A_i \cup W') \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{d} \mathrm{Cut}(C_i \cup W')$. The other inclusion follows similarly by symmetry. Hence it follows that $\overline{\lambda}$ is well-defined, as desired.

Let $\overline{\phi}_H$ and $\overline{\phi}_G$ be the isomorphisms induced by ϕ_H and ϕ_G, respectively. The map $\overline{\lambda}$ together with these two isomorphisms gives a homogeneous homomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \iota\colon \mathbb{K}[H] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}[G] & \\ u_A & \mapsto & u_A & \displaystyle\prod_{\substack{i \in W', j \in N_G(W') \cap A^c \\ \text{or} \\ j \in W', i \in N_G(W') \cap A^c}} s_{ij} \displaystyle\prod_{\substack{i \in W', j \in W' \cup (N_G(W') \cap A) \\ \text{or} \\ j \in W', i \in W' \cup (N_G(W') \cap A)}} t_{ij}. \end{array}$$

Thus, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_H/I_H & \xrightarrow{\overline{\lambda}} & S_G/I_G \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\phi}_H} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\phi}_G} \\ \mathbb{K}[H] & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbb{K}[G] \end{array}.$$

Next we show that ι is injective. Let $u_{A_1}, \ldots u_{A_p}$ be all the monomial generators of the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathbb{K}[H]$, and let g be any polynomial in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_p]$ such that $\iota(g(u_{A_1}, \ldots u_{A_p})) = 0$ in $\mathbb{K}[G]$. In the latter equality, we put $s_{ij} = t_{ij} = 1$ for all $i, j \in V$ such that $\{i, j\} \cap W' \neq \emptyset$. This implies by definition of ι that $g(u_{A_1}, \ldots u_{A_p}) = 0$. Hence ι is injective.

Note that if $A|A^c$ is a partition of V, then $A \cap W|A^c \cap W$ is clearly a partition of W. So, we define the homogeneous homomorphism of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \pi\colon & \mathbb{K}[G] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}[H] \\ & u_A & \mapsto & u_{A\cap W}. \end{array}$$

It is enough to see that π is well-defined. Suppose that $u_{A_1}, \ldots, u_{A_r}$ are all the monomial generators of the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathbb{K}[G]$, and let h be any polynomial in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$, with $h(u_{A_1}, \ldots, u_{A_r}) = 0$. Then by putting $s_{ij} = t_{ij} = 1$ for all i, j such that $\{i, j\} \cap W' \neq \emptyset$ in the last equality, we get $h(\pi(u_{A_1}), \ldots, \pi(u_{A_r})) = 0$.

Finally we need to check that $\pi \circ \iota = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{K}[H]}$ which implies that $\mathbb{K}[H]$ is an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G]$, and hence the desired result of the theorem follows. It suffices to check the latter equality for any monomial generator of the $\mathbb{K}$-algebra $\mathbb{K}[H]$, i.e. u_A where $A \subseteq W$. But, this easily holds, since u_A is not divided by any variables s_{ij} or t_{ij} with $\{i, j\} \cap W' \neq \emptyset$. □

Remark 5.5. We would like to remark that the algebra retract given in Theorem 5.4 is not a face retract. For this observe at first that a face retract of a cut algebra is always $\mathbb{Z}^{2|E|}$-homogeneous. Now let $G = C_4$ and $H = P_3$ with $V(G) = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $V(H) = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then one can observe that the map ι is not $\mathbb{Z}^{2|E|}$-homogeneous. Indeed, in this case $t_{12}t_{23}t_{34}t_{14} - t_{12}t_{23}s_{34}s_{14}$ is an element of $\ker \pi$ which is not $\mathbb{Z}^{2|E|}$-homogeneous. Here ι and π are as in the proof of Theorem 5.4,

Remark 5.6. One may ask if there exists an induced subgraph H of a graph G such that $\mathbb{K}[H]$ is not an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G]$. So far we do not know such examples by comparing their algebraic invariants and properties preserved by retracts. But, there are examples for which there is no algebra retract induced by an embedding of S_H into S_G via mapping the variables of S_H to the ones of S_G as in the proof of Theorem 5.4. The graph G_{10} depicted in Figure 1 together with its induced subgraph C_4 is such an example.

In the following we consider some special cases of neighborhood-minors of a graph.

In [28], in particular, a *clique-sum* $G_1 \sharp G_2$ of two graphs G_1 and G_2 was studied. More generally, an *H-sum* of G_1 and G_2, along an induced subgraph H of both of them, is defined as follows:

Definition 5.7. Let $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$, $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ be two graphs and assume that $(G_1)_{V_1 \cap V_2} = (G_2)_{V_1 \cap V_2}$ which we denote by H. Then an *H-sum* $G_1 \sharp_H G_2$ of G_1 and G_2, along the induced subgraph H, is defined to be the graph with

$$V(G_1 \sharp_H G_2) = V_1 \cup V_2 \text{ and } E(G_1 \sharp_H G_2) = E_1 \cup E_2.$$

In particular, if H is a clique of G_1 and G_2, then the definition of an H-sum is the same as the one of a clique-sum.

We would like to mention that this operation on two graphs is sometimes called *gluing*, and then the common induced subgraph H of the two graphs is sometimes called a *clone*. Some authors also use other notation for this, but here we follow the setting used in earlier papers on cut ideals.

We would also like to remark that by fixing a labeling for G_1, G_2 and H, the H-sum $G_1 \sharp_H G_2$ is uniquely determined. In general, this is not true even up to isomorphism, though it might be true in special cases.

For example, in the case of the graphs K_2 and K_3 with $H = K_1$, the H-sum is unique up to isomorphism of graphs. Therefore, we use the notation $K_2 \sharp_{K_1} K_3$. But if we consider the graphs K_3 and P_3 with $H = K_1$, then both of the graphs G_3 and G_4 in Figure 1 are an H-sum of K_3 and P_3. Hence the notation $K_3 \sharp_{K_1} P_3$ can not be used. In the sequel, we use this notation only in the case where there exists a uniquely determined H-sum (up to isomorphism).

Corollary 5.8. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs on V_1 and V_2, respectively, and let $H = (G_1)_{V_1 \cap V_2} = (G_2)_{V_1 \cap V_2}$ with $|V_1 \cap V_2| \geq 1$. If H has a vertex v with $\deg_H(v) = |V_1 \cap V_2| - 1$, then G_i is a neighborhood-minor of G, and hence $\mathbb{K}[G_i]$ is an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G_1 \sharp_H G_2]$ for $i = 1, 2$.*

In particular, for $i = 1, 2$, $\mathbb{K}[G_i]$ is an algebra retract of the cut algebra of any clique-sum of G_1 and G_2.

Proof. Let $G := G_1 \sharp_H G_2$, $W := V_1$ and $W' := V_2 \setminus V_1$. Note that $v \in W$, and by definition of G, we have $N_G(W') \subseteq V_2$ and $V_1 \cap V_2 \subseteq N_{G_1}[v]$, where the latter inclusion holds since $\deg_H(v) = |V_1 \cap V_2| - 1$. Altogether we have that

$$W \cap N_G(W') \subseteq V_1 \cap V_2 \subseteq N_{G_1}[v],$$

and hence G_1 is a neighborhood-minor of G. Thus, by Theorem 5.4, we have that $\mathbb{K}[G_1]$ is an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G]$, as desired. Similarly, G_2 is also a neighborhood-minor of G, and hence $\mathbb{K}[G_2]$ is an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G]$ as well.

The second assertion follows, since in a clique-sum of G_1 and G_2, the graph H is indeed a clique, say on k vertices, whose all vertices have clearly degree $k - 1$ in H. □

A straightforward consequence of Theorem 5.4 is the following corollary. For this we recall before the definition of a vertex duplication in a graph. A *duplication* of a vertex v of a graph $G = (V, E)$ produces a graph $G' = (V', E')$ by adding a new vertex v' such that

$$V' = V \cup \{v'\}$$

and

$$E' = E \cup \big\{\{u, v'\} : u \in N_G(v)\big\}.$$

Note that $N_{G'}(v') = N_{G'}(v) = N_G(v)$.

Corollary 5.9. *Let G be a graph on V, and let $u, v \in V$ such that $u \neq v$ and $N_G(u) \subseteq N_G[v]$. Then $G_{V \setminus \{u\}}$ is a neighborhood-minor of G, and hence $\mathbb{K}[G_{V \setminus \{u\}}]$ is an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G]$. In particular, if G' is obtained by a duplication of a vertex of G, then $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G']$.*

Proof. Let $W := V \setminus \{u\}$ and $W' := \{u\}$. Then we have

$$W \cap N_G(W') = (V \setminus \{u\}) \cap N_G(u) \subseteq (V \setminus \{u\}) \cap N_G[v] = N_{G_W}[v].$$

Hence $G_{V \setminus \{u\}}$ is a neighborhood-minor of G. Thus, by Theorem 5.4 the result follows. □

In the following we discuss some well-known families of graphs whose certain induced subgraphs give algebra retracts.

Example 5.10. (1) *Chordal graphs.* Let G be a chordal graph (i.e. a graph whose induced cycles have length 3). Then by Dirac's theorem in [11] (see also, e.g., [18, Lemma 9.2.6]), the facets of the clique complex $\Delta(G)$ of G can be ordered, say $F_1, \ldots, F_r$, such that for each $i = 1, \ldots, r$, the facet F_i is a leaf of the simplicial complex $\langle F_1, \ldots, F_i \rangle$. Recall that by a *leaf* of a simplicial complex Δ, we mean a facet F such that either it is the only facet of Δ or there exists a facet $G \neq F$ of Δ with $H \cap F \subseteq G \cap F$ for all facet $H \neq F$ in Δ. Such an order of facets of a simplicial complex is called a *leaf order*, and such a simplicial complex is called *quasi-forest* (see [29]). Now, let $\Delta_i := \langle F_1, \ldots, F_i \rangle$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Then we have $\Delta_i = \Delta(G_i)$ which is the clique complex of the induced subgraph G_i of G on the vertex set $\cup_{j=1}^{i} F_j$. In particular, $G_r = G$. It is also clear that G_i is an induced subgraph of G_{i+1} for all $i = 1, \ldots, r-1$. Since F_i is a leaf of Δ_i, there exists some $j \in \{1, \ldots, i-1\}$ such that $F_i \cap F_\ell \subseteq F_j \cap F_i$ for all $\ell = 1, \ldots, i-1$. Then it follows that for all i, G_{i+1} is indeed the clique-sum of G_i and the complete graph with the vertex set F_i over the clique $F_j \cap F_i$. Therefore, by Corollary 5.8, for all $i = 1, \ldots, r-1$, the algebra $\mathbb{K}[G_i]$ is an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G_{i+1}]$, and hence of $\mathbb{K}[G]$. In particular, since trees are chordal graphs, by removing a vertex of degree one from a tree, we always obtain an algebra retract of the cut algebra associated to the original tree.

(2) *Complete t-partite graphs.* Let G be a complete t-partite graph on the vertex set V with the partition $V_1, \ldots, V_t$. We consider the following possibilities to determine retracts:

(a) Let $v \in V_k$ for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$. By definition, $N_G(v) = V \setminus V_k$. Let H be an induced subgraph of G which is also complete t-partite, with the partition $W_1, \ldots, W_t$ of its vertex set where W_i is a nonempty subset of V_i for each i. Then it follows from Corollary 5.9 that $\mathbb{K}[H]$ is an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G]$.

(b) Let H be an induced subgraph of G which is a complete s-partite graph with the partition $W_1, \ldots, W_s$ of its vertex set, where $\emptyset \neq W_i \subseteq V_i$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, s$, and $1 \leq s < t$. Assume in addition that $|W_i| = 1$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. We claim that $\mathbb{K}[H]$ is an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G]$. Indeed, let w be the only element of W_i. Then we choose an element v of V_{s+1}, and consider the induced subgraph H_1 of G on the vertex set $\{v\} \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} W_i)$ which is a complete $(s+1)$-partite graph. Since $N_{H_1}(v) = N_{H_1}[w]$, Corollary 5.9 implies that $\mathbb{K}[H]$ is an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[H_1]$. By repeating this procedure, we get after $t-s$ steps, a sequence of graphs, and hence algebra retracts. In fact, we get that $\mathbb{K}[H_i]$ is an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[H_{i+1}]$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t-s-1$, where H_i is a complete $(s+i)$-partite induced subgraph of G. By the above discussion in (a), the complete t-partite subgraph H_{s-t} of G provides an algebra retract $\mathbb{K}[H_{s-t}]$ of $\mathbb{K}[G]$. Hence, $\mathbb{K}[H]$ is an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G]$.

(3) *Ferrers graphs.* First recall that a Ferrers graph G is a bipartite graph whose vertex set V can be partitioned into $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$ such that $\{x_1, y_m\}$ and $\{x_n, y_1\}$ are edges of G, and in addition, if $\{x_i, y_j\}$ is an edge of G, then so is $\{x_p, y_q\}$ for $1 \leq p \leq i$ and $1 \leq q \leq j$. In particular, a complete bipartite graph is a Ferrers graph. We claim that for any induced subgraph H of a Ferrers graph G, the algebra $\mathbb{K}[H]$ is an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G]$.

Since by a relabeling of the vertices (if needed), any induced subgraph of G is again a Ferrers graph, it is enough to consider an induced subgraph of G which has only one vertex less than G. Without loss of generality, assume that H is an induced subgraph of G on the vertex set $V \setminus \{y_k\}$ for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Since by definition, $\{x_1, y_\ell\}$ is an edge of G for all $\ell = 1, \ldots, m$, it follows that $N_G(y_k)$ is a non-empty subset of X. Let t be the greatest index such that $x_t \in N_G(y_k)$, which implies by definition of a Ferrers graph that $N_G(y_k) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_t\}$. Since $\{y_1, x_n\}$ is an edge of G, it follows that $\{y_1, x_i\}$ is an edge of G for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and hence $N_G[y_1] = X$. Thus $N_G(y_k) \subseteq N_G[y_1]$, and using Corollary 5.9, we get that $\mathbb{K}[H]$ is an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G]$.

(4) *Ring graphs.* A ring graph is a graph obtained from cycles and trees by clique-sums along subgraphs isomorphic to K_1 and K_2, (see, e.g., [14, 15, 20]). In particular, cycles and trees are ring graphs.

So, if G is a ring graph, then it is the clique-sum of a ring graph H_1 and a cycle or a tree, say H_2, i.e. $G = H_1 \sharp_{K_i} H_2$ where $i = 1$ or $i = 2$. Hence, $\mathbb{K}[H_1]$ and $\mathbb{K}[H_2]$ are both algebra retracts of $\mathbb{K}[G]$ by Corollary 5.8.

6. Applications

In this section, we apply the tools from the previous sections to discuss certain algebraic properties and invariants of cut ideals and algebras as some applications.

First, we define the following notion:

Definition 6.1. Let G be a graph. Then:

(a) We say that a graph G' is a *combinatorial retract* of G, if there is a sequence $G_0, G_1, \ldots, G_r$ of graphs where $G_0 = G$ and $G_r = G'$ and for each $i = 1, \ldots, r$, G_i is either
   (i) obtained by an edge contraction from G_{i-1}, or
   (ii) a neighborhood-minor of G_{i-1}.

(b) Given other graphs $H_1, \ldots, H_t$ with $t \geq 1$, then we say that G is $(H_1, \ldots, H_t)$-*combinatorial retract-free* if it has no combinatorial retract isomorphic to any of $H_1, \ldots, H_t$.

Remark 6.2. Note that any combinatorial retract is a minor. Observe that for a graph G having a neighborhood-minor isomorphic to a complete graph K_n is equivalent to having K_n as a minor. Indeed, if G has such a minor, then it is obtained only by a sequence of edge contractions by Remark 4.3. So, it is a combinatorial retract of G.

By Corollary 4.6 and Theorem 5.4, if G' is a combinatorial retract of G, then $\mathbb{K}[G']$ is an algebra retract of $\mathbb{K}[G]$. As special cases see Example 5.10, where the mentioned induced subgraphs are combinatorial retracts of the given graph.

Next we discuss some algebraic properties of cut ideals. Following [28], for a graph G, we let $\mu(I_G)$ be the highest degree of an element in a minimal generating system of I_G.

Corollary 6.3. *Let G be a graph and let G' be a combinatorial retract of G. Then:*

(a) $\beta_{i,j}^{S_{G'}}(I_{G'}) \leq \beta_{i,j}^{S_G}(I_G)$ *for all* i, j.
(b) $\operatorname{reg}_{S_{G'}} I_{G'} \leq \operatorname{reg}_{S_G} I_G$ *and* $\operatorname{proj\,dim}_{S_{G'}} I_{G'} \leq \operatorname{proj\,dim}_{S_G} I_G$.
(c) *The number of minimal generators of a given degree j of $I_{G'}$ does not exceed the ones of I_G.*
(d) $\mu(I_{G'}) \leq \mu(I_G)$.

Proof. Parts (a) and (b) are just immediate consequences of Proposition 2.2, Corollary 4.6 and Theorem 5.4.

Part (c) just follows from (a) by considering the case $i = 0$ and (d) is a trivial consequence from this. □

In [28, Corollary 3.3 (2)], the authors discussed the inequality of part (d) in Corollary 6.3 in the case of contracting an edge which is a particular case here. The proof of the induced subgraph case in [28, Corollary 3.3 (1)] is no longer valid since it is based on [28, Lemma 3.2 (1)] which is not correct (see Example 5.1). But in certain special cases one can deduce the inequality; see, e.g., Theorem 5.4.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Corollary 6.3 which verifies a weaker version of [28, Conjecture 3.1] where it was conjectured that the set of graphs G with $\mu(I_G) \leq k$ is minor-closed for any k.

Corollary 6.4. *The set of graphs G with $\mu(I_G) \leq k$ is combinatorial retract-closed for any k.*

As another consequence of Corollary 6.3, we can classify those connected graphs whose cut ideals are generated in a single degree.

Corollary 6.5. *Let G be a connected and non-complete graph. Then I_G has a generator of degree 2. In particular, the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *I_G is generated in a single degree;*
(b) *I_G is generated in degree 2;*
(c) *G is K_4-minor-free.*

Proof. Since G is non-complete and connected, it follows that it has an induced subgraph isomorphic to P_3, say on the set of vertices $\{u, v, w\}$. Without loss of generality, we denote that induced subgraph by P_3, and also assume that $\deg_{P_3}(v) = 2$. Therefore, it follows from Corollary 5.8 that the induced subgraph P_3 is indeed a neighborhood-minor of G. Thus, by Corollary 6.3 (c), we deduce that I_G has a minimal generator of degree 2, because I_{P_3} is generated in degree 2 by [20, Corollary 4.3] (see also [28, Example 2.3]). Hence, (a) and (b) are immediately equivalent. On the other hand, (b) and (c) are also equivalent, as it was already shown in [12, Corollary 2.8]. □

Remark 6.6. Using computer algebra systems one can extend the characterization in Corollary 6.5 as follows. There we assumed that G is not a complete graph. If $G = K_2$ or $G = K_3$, then $I_G = \langle 0 \rangle$, by Proposition 3.1. If $G = K_4$, we see in Example 7.1 that its cut ideal is a principal ideal generated in degree 4. If $G = K_5$, then computations show that the cut ideal is generated in degrees 4 and 6. Since K_5 is a neighborhood-minor of K_n for all $n \geq 6$, it follows by Proposition 6.5 (c) that I_{K_n} is not generated in a single degree for all $n \geq 5$. So, together with Corollary 6.5, one can get the complete characterization of all connected graphs whose cut ideals are generated in a single degree. More precisely, this is the case if and only if $G = K_4$ or G is K_4-minor-free.

Now we consider the disconnected case. First we need to recall a result of [20] which deals with the cut algebra of disconnected graphs.

Proposition 6.7. ([20, Proposition 5.2]) *Let $G = G_1 \sqcup G_2$ where $G_i = (V_i, E_i)$ is a graph with $|V_i| = n_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then there is an injective homogeneous $\mathbb{K}$-algebra homomorphism $\alpha\colon S_{G_1 \sharp_{K_1} G_2} \to S_G$ mapping variables of $S_{G_1 \sharp_{K_1} G_2}$ to the variables of S_G such that $I_G = \alpha(I_{G_1 \sharp_{K_1} G_2}) + L$, where L is an ideal minimally generated by $2^{n_1+n_2-2}$ linear forms. In particular, $\mathbb{K}[G] \cong \mathbb{K}[G_1 \sharp_{K_1} G_2]$ where K_1 can be any vertex of G_1 and G_2, respectively.*

In the following proposition, we determine when the cut ideal of a graph is generated only by linear forms:

Proposition 6.8. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a disconnected graph with $|E| \geq 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *I_G is generated in a single degree;*
(b) *I_G is generated by linear forms;*
(c) *$G = K_2 \sqcup (\sqcup_{i=1}^{t} K_1)$ or $G = K_3 \sqcup (\sqcup_{i=1}^{t} K_1)$ for some $t \geq 1$.*

Proof. Here we use the notation in Proposition 6.7. By applying repeatedly the same proposition, we get

$$\alpha(I_{K_i \sqcup (\sqcup_{i=1}^{t-1} K_1) \sharp_{K_1} K_1}) = \alpha(I_{K_i}) = \langle 0 \rangle$$

for $i = 2, 3$. So by Proposition 6.7, (c) implies (b). Obviously, (b) implies (a). Thus, it remains to prove that (a) implies (c). Since by Proposition 6.7 the ideal I_G has always linear forms among the generators, being generated in a single degree, clearly means that I_G is generated only by linear forms. Let $G = \sqcup_{i=1}^{c} G_i$ where $c \geq 1$ and G_i is a connected component of G for $i = 1, \ldots, c$. If $c = 2$, then $G_1 \sharp_{K_1} G_2$ is connected. So $\alpha(I_{G_1 \sharp_{K_1} G_2}) = \langle 0 \rangle$ by Proposition 3.2. Since α is injective, it follows that $I_{G_1 \sharp_{K_1} G_2} = \langle 0 \rangle$. Thus Proposition 3.1 implies that $G_1 \sharp_{K_1} G_2 = K_2$ or $G_1 \sharp_{K_1} G_2 = K_3$, which is the case if and only if $G_1 = K_i$ for $i \in \{2, 3\}$ and $G_2 = K_1$, or vice versa. Then by induction on the number of connected component of G, namely c, it follows that (a) implies (c), as desired. □

In the sequel, first we study the property of being a complete intersection (which is preserved under algebra retracts) for cut algebras. The obtained results will then be applied to study the resolution of cut ideals later.

There is a conjecture in [28] on the characterization of Cohen-Macaulay cut algebras which has been studied in some special cases, (see, e.g., [20] for ring graphs). In [23] those graphs whose cut algebras are normal and Gorenstein were characterized. In the following, we classify cut algebras with respect to being a complete intersection.

Theorem 6.9. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with $|E| \geq 1$, which has no isolated vertices. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) $\mathbb{K}[G]$ *is a complete intersection;*
(b) G *is one of the following graphs:* $K_2, K_3, P_3, 2K_2, C_4, K_4 \setminus e, K_4$.

Proof. Let $|V| = n$. First, we characterize all graphs G with no isolated vertices, $|E| \geq 1$ and $n \leq 4$. (see Table 1), for which $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is a complete intersection.

By Proposition 3.1 and Example 7.1, $\mathbb{K}[K_i]$ is a complete intersection for $i = 2, 3, 4$.

If $G \neq K_2$ is a tree, namely $P_3, P_4, K_{1,3}$, then by comparing (3) and the formula given in [20, Corollary 4.2] for the number of minimal generators of I_G, it follows that in this case, $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is a complete intersection if and only if $G = P_3$. Moreover, by Proposition 6.7, the cut algebras of P_3 and $2K_2$ are isomorphic which implies that $\mathbb{K}[2K_2]$ is also a complete intersection.

If $G = K_2 \sharp_{K_1} K_3$, then by a criteria given in [23, Theorem 3.4] for Gorenstein normal cut algebras, it follows that $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is not Gorenstein, and hence it is not a complete intersection. Note that by [22, Example 3.7] $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is normal in this case.

Comparing the formula given in [20, Proposition 3.7] for the number of minimal generators of the cut ideal of a cycle with the height of I_{C_4}, it follows that $\mathbb{K}[C_4]$ is a complete intersection.

Finally, by Example 7.1 and Example 7.4 (d), $\mathbb{K}[K_4]$ and $\mathbb{K}[K_4 \setminus e]$ are complete intersections.

In particular, this characterization already proves the implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a).

Next, we show that if $n = 5$, then $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is not a complete intersection which implies that the same result holds for $n > 5$. In fact, by suitable edge contractions of a graph with more than five vertices, a graph with five vertices is obtained, and hence the desired conclusion follows in this case, since we get indeed an algebra retract.

It remains to deal with the case $n = 5$. We consider all graphs in Table 1. Note that by Example 7.2, $\mathbb{K}[K_5]$ is not Cohen-Macaulay, and hence not a complete intersection. Moreover, it is enough to show that cut algebras of the following graphs, which are the only Gorenstein ones by [23, Theorem 3.4], are not complete intersections. Indeed, in each case, we obtain a combinatorial retract with four vertices whose cut algebra is not a complete intersection. Hence it follows that $\mathbb{K}[G]$ is not a complete intersection as well.

- P_5, $K_{1,4}$ and G_1: in these cases, by contracting an edge, we obtain either P_4 or $K_{1,3}$.
- $K_2 \sharp_{K_1} C_4$: by contracting an edge of the induced C_4, we get $K_2 \sharp_{K_1} K_3$.
- $K_3 \sharp_{K_1} K_3$: by contracting an edge, we obtain again $K_2 \sharp_{K_1} K_3$.

- $C_4 \sharp_{P_3} C_4$: in this case, $K_{1,3}$ is neighborhood-minor. Note that in this case, contracting any of the edges of $C_4 \sharp_{P_3} C_4$ yields graphs with complete intersection cut algebras.
- G_7: by contracting the common edge in the two triangles, one gets $K_2 \sharp_{K_1} K_3$.
- G_8: by contracting the common edge between the three triangles, one obtains $K_{1,3}$.
- $K_3 \sharp_{K_2} K_4$: by contracting the common edge between the induced K_3 and K_4, we get $K_2 \sharp_{K_1} K_3$.
- $K_5 \setminus e$: in this case, by (3), height $I_{K_5 \setminus e} = 6$, while the number of minimal generators of $I_{K_5 \setminus e}$ is 35 (see Example 7.4).

□

Note that a disjoint union of any of the graphs in part (b) of Theorem 6.9 with some isolated vertices, preserves the property of being a complete intersection, by Proposition 6.7.

In the next theorem, we determine all graphs whose cut ideals have linear resolutions.

Theorem 6.10. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected graph with $|E| \geq 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *I_G has a d-linear resolution for some $d \geq 2$;*
(b) *$G = P_3$ or $G = K_2 \sharp_{K_1} K_3$ or $G = K_4$.*

Proof. The implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) follows from Examples 7.1 and 7.4, namely, I_{P_3} and $I_{K_2 \sharp_{K_1} K_3}$ have 2-linear resolution, and I_{K_4} has a 4-linear resolution.

It remains to prove (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). Assume that I_G has a d-linear resolution with $d \geq 2$. Then I_G is generated in a single degree $d \geq 2$. On the one hand, by Proposition 3.1, it follows that $G \neq K_2, K_3$. On the other hand, by Corollary 6.5 and Remark 6.6, it follows that G is either K_4 or K_4-minor-free. Now, we need to show that for any K_4-minor-free graph which is not isomorphic to P_3 or $K_2 \sharp_{K_1} K_3$, the ideal I_G does not have a linear resolution. Let $|V| = n$. We may assume that $n \geq 4$, since the only connected graphs with $n < 4$ and $|E| \geq 1$, are K_2, K_3 and P_3.

First suppose that $n = 4$. Then according to Table 1, we only need to consider $G = P_4, K_{1,3}, C_4, K_4 \setminus e$. In the first two cases, which are trees with three edges, by [20, Corollary 4.3] it follows that their cut ideals are generated in degree $d = 2$. By [20, Proposition 4.4] we have that $\mathrm{reg}_{S_G}(I_G) = 4$, which implies that I_G does not have a 2-linear resolution. In the cases where $G = C_4$ or $G = K_4 \setminus e$, we know, by Theorem 6.9 and Proposition 3.3, that S_G/I_G is a complete intersection with projective dimension 3 or 2. This implies that $\beta_{1,4}^{S_G}(I_G) \neq 0$, since in both cases G is a ring graph, and hence by [20, Theorem 6.2] I_G is generated by quadrics. Thus, we deduce that I_G does not have a linear resolution. Therefore, the only graphs with $n \leq 4$ whose cut ideals have linear resolutions are P_3, $K_2 \sharp_{K_1} K_3$ and K_4.

Next, we show that for $n = 5$, there is no K_4-minor-free graph G for which I_G has a linear resolution. Then, this implies by Corollary 6.3 (a) that the cut ideal of a K_4-minor-free graph with more than 5 vertices does not have linear resolution as well.

Indeed, this follows from the fact that from any connected graph with n vertices, one obtains, as a combinatorial retract, a connected graph with five vertices after a sequence of edge contractions. Let $n = 5$. We consider the graphs with notation in Table 1.

One the one hand, note that if $G = K_2 \sharp_{K_1} K_4, K_3 \sharp_{K_2} K_4, K_5 \setminus e, K_5$, then it is easily observed that by one edge contraction, one gets K_4. So that they are not K_4-minor-free. On the other hand, note that since the cut algebra of all graphs with $n = 5$, except K_5, are normal by [22, Example 3.7], we can apply the characterization of normal Gorenstein cut algebra of graphs given in [23, Theorem 3.2]. Since by that theorem, the cut algebras of the graphs $P_5, K_{1,4}, G_1, K_2 \sharp_{K_1} C_4, K_3 \sharp_{K_1} K_3, C_4 \sharp_{P_3} C_4, G_7$ and G_8 (from Table 1) are Gorenstein, their cut ideals do not have a linear resolution, since they are not principal ideals in those cases.

In the remaining cases, by contraction of an edge of the graph we obtain a graph with four vertices whose cut ideal does not have a linear resolution as we showed before. More precisely:

If $G = G_2, G_3, G_4$, then by contracting an edge of their unique induced triangle, one obtains some trees on four vertices whose cut ideals do not have linear resolutions as we showed before.

If $G = C_5$, then we get C_4, where I_{C_4} does not have a linear resolution.

If $G = G_5, G_6$, then by contracting an edge incident to the vertex of degree 1, one obtains $K_4 \setminus e$ whose cut ideal does not have a linear resolution.

Finally, if $G = K_3 \sharp_{K_2} C_4, G_9, G_{10}$, then for example by contracting an edge of a triangle of the graph which does not belong to the unique induced C_4, one gets either C_4 or $K_4 \setminus e$, whose cut ideals do not have linear resolutions. □

Proposition 3.1, Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 6.8 gave the characterization of all graphs G with $\operatorname{reg}_{S_G} S_G/I_G = 0$. Example 7.1 shows in particular that I_{K_4} has regularity 4. Thus, Theorem 6.10 together with Proposition 3.2 proves:

Corollary 6.11. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected graph with $|E| \geq 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *I_G has a 2-linear resolution;*
(b) *$\operatorname{reg}_{S_G} I_G = 2$;*
(c) *$G = P_3$ or $G = K_2 \sharp_{K_1} K_3$.*

Remark 6.12. We would like to remark that if G is a disconnected graph with connected components G_1 and G_2, then by using Proposition 6.7 and [4, Remark 2.1], one obtains that $\operatorname{reg}_{S_G} I_G = \operatorname{reg}_{S_{G_1 \sharp_{K_1} G_2}} I_{G_1 \sharp_{K_1} G_2}$. One can deduce a similar statement in the case of more connected components.

The discussion so far yields a characterization of all graphs for which the cut ideal has "small" regularity. It is reasonable to study the regularity of cut ideals in general. To the knowledge of the authors the only class of graphs for which an exact formula for the regularity of their cut ideals is known are trees. More precisely, if $T = (V, E)$ is a tree, then by [20, Proposition 4.4],

$$\operatorname{reg}_{S_T} I_T = |E|.$$

Also, in some special cases, the regularity of cut ideals is bounded above by the number of edges plus one. For example the class of ring graphs is one of those cases (see, e.g., [20, Corollary 6.5 and Remark 6.6 (ii)]).

Applying Corollary 6.3 (b), one can play a bit more with this invariant in some cases. In the following, we discuss such an example where a nontrivial lower bound for the regularity of the cut ideal of a special family of graphs is obtained.

Example 6.13. Recall that a *unicyclic graph* is a graph which has exactly one cycle as an induced subgraph. In particular, a unicyclic graph $G = (V, E)$ with $|V| = n$ is by definition a ring graph with $|E| = n - c + 1$, where c is the number of connected components of G.

Now, let G be a connected unicyclic graph whose unique cycle is isomorphic to C_m for some $m \geq 3$. By contracting $m - 2$ edges of this cycle, we get a tree T with $n - m + 2$ vertices and $n - m + 1$ edges. It follows from [20, Proposition 4.4] that $\operatorname{reg}_{S_T} I_T = n - m + 1$. Thus

$$n - m + 1 \leq \operatorname{reg}_{S_G} I_G \leq n + 1,$$

where the lower bound follows from Corollary 6.3 (b), since $\operatorname{reg}_{S_G} I_G \geq \operatorname{reg}_{S_T} I_T$. The upper bound is the one given in [20, Corollary 6.5].

The following example shows that for a given natural number r, on can construct infinitely many graphs whose cut ideals have regularity at least r.

Example 6.14. Suppose that $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are given such that $n > r + 1$. Also, let T be any tree with $r + 1$ vertices. We know by [20, Proposition 4.4] that $\operatorname{reg}_{S_T} I_T = r$. Next let H be any graph with $n - r - 1$ vertices. Moreover, let G be a graph with n vertices such that T is a combinatorial retract of it. Then

$$\operatorname{reg}_{S_G} I_G \geq \operatorname{reg}_{S_T} I_T = r.$$

Such a graph G can be constructed as follows. One possibility is by edge contractions. It is also possible to choose G as a clique-sum of T and H along K_1 or K_2. As a third option, T can be a neighborhood-minor of G, i.e. G can be obtained by joining at least one vertex of H to at least one vertex of any induced subgraph of T which is isomorphic to a star graph.

As we saw in Theorem 6.10 that for which graphs G the minimal graded free resolution of I_G is linear, it is also reasonable to ask for which cut ideals the resolution is linear up to a certain step.

Recall that a nonzero ideal I in a polynomial ring $R = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is said to satisfy property N_p , if it is generated in degree 2, and its minimal graded free resolution is linear up to the p-th homological degree, i.e. $\beta^R_{i,i+j}(I) = 0$, for all $i \leq p$ and $j \neq 2$.

Note that, in Corollary 6.5, cut ideals with property N_0 have been classified. It is natural to ask whether the property N_p can be characterized in our setting. We concentrate here on the property N_1. Note that an ideal satisfying property N_1 is also called *linearly presented*.

The next consequence of Corollary 6.3 is the following sufficient condition for satisfying the property N_1:

Corollary 6.15. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with $|E| \geq 1$, and assume that I_G satisfies property N_1. Then G is a $(K_4, K_4 \setminus e, C_4)$-combinatorial retract-free graph.*

Proof. Since I_G satisfies property N_1, it follows by definition that I_G is generated by quadrics, and hence G is connected and K_4-minor-free by Proposition 6.7 and Corollary 6.5, respectively. Then, by Remark 6.2, the graph G is K_4-combinatorial retract-free.

We have that $\beta_{1,4}^{S_{K_4\setminus e}}(I_{K_4\setminus e}) \neq 0$ and $\beta_{1,4}^{S_{C_4}}(I_{C_4}) \neq 0$, because the cut algebras of $K_4 \setminus e$ and C_4 are complete intersections by Theorem 6.9, and their cut ideals which are not principal ideals by Proposition 3.3, are generated by quadrics by Corollary 6.5. Thus, if G has a combinatorial retract isomorphic to $K_4 \setminus e$ or C_4, then Corollary 6.3 (a) implies that $\beta_{1,4}^{S_G}(I_G) \neq 0$, a contradiction to having property N_1. Hence, G is $(K_4, K_4 \setminus e, C_4)$-combinatorial retract-free. □

We would like to end this section by posing the following problem which is verified by computations for the graphs up to 5 vertices; see Table 1.

Problem 6.16. What is the complete characterization of cut ideals of graphs which satisfy the property N_1? Is the sufficient condition given in Corollary 6.15 a necessary condition as well?

7. Examples and further remarks

In this section, we present some examples which have been essential in the literature and, in particular, in this paper. We also provide a table as a summary of some useful information about graphs with at most five vertices.

We start with two following examples concerning the complete graphs K_4 and K_5 as we mentioned in Section 3. Indeed, there exist some information about them in [28, Table 1] which was determined by computations. In the following examples we study these two cases including rigorous proofs of "well-known" facts. Recall the different gradings we introduced in Section 3 and will be used in the following.

Example 7.1. We consider the complete graph K_4 on the vertex set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. By (3), we have height $I_{K_4} = 1$ which implies that I_{K_4} is a principal ideal, since it is a prime ideal in the polynomial ring S_G. We note that it is easy to see that $u_1u_2u_3u_4 = u_\emptyset u_{12}u_{13}u_{14}$, which implies that the binomial $f := q_1q_2q_3q_4 - q_\emptyset q_{12}q_{13}q_{14}$ belongs to I_{K_4}. We claim that $I_{K_4} = \langle f \rangle$.

Now, we prove the claim. Assume that $I_{K_4} = \langle g \rangle$ where g is a pure binomial in S_{K_4}. It follows that $\deg(g) \leq 4$, because $\deg(f) = 4$. On the other hand, since K_4 is connected, we have $\deg(g) \geq 2$ by Proposition 3.2. Since $f \in \langle g \rangle$, we have $f = hg$ for some homogeneous polynomial $h \in S_{K_4}$.

First suppose that $\deg(g) = 2$, say $g = q_Aq_B - q_Cq_D$. Since I_{K_4} is a prime ideal containing no linear forms, it follows that q_Aq_B and q_Cq_D have no common factors. Since $q_1q_2q_3q_4$, is in the support of f, it follows that either q_Aq_B or q_Cq_D divides $q_1q_2q_3q_4$. We may assume that $q_Aq_B|q_1q_2q_3q_4$, so that $|A| = |B| = 1$. Without loss of generality, let $A = \{1\}$ and $B = \{2\}$. Then we have $\deg_s(q_1q_2) = 6$, because $\deg_s(q_1) = \deg_s(q_2) = 3$.

So, we deduce that $\deg_s(q_Cq_D) = 6$ which implies that $|C| = |D| = 1$. The latter follows, because if $|C| = 0$ or 2, then $\deg_s(q_C) = 0$ or 4, respectively, (similarly for $|D|$), and hence one can not get 6 as s-degree of q_Cq_D. Hence, without loss of generality, let $C = \{3\}$ and $D = \{4\}$. But, this is a contradiction, since neither q_1q_2 nor q_3q_4 divides $q_\emptyset q_{12}q_{13}q_{14}$ which is also in the support of $f = hg$. Thus $\deg(g) \neq 2$.

Next assume that $\deg(g) = 3$, say $g = q_Aq_Bq_C - q_Dq_Eq_F$. By the same argument as above, the monomials $q_Aq_Bq_C$ and $q_Dq_Eq_F$ do not have any common factors, and one of them, say $q_Aq_Bq_C$, divides $q_1q_2q_3q_4$. We may assume without loss of generality that $q_Aq_Bq_C = q_1q_2q_3$. Since $\deg_s(q_1q_2q_3) = 9$, it also follows that $\deg_s(q_Dq_Eq_F) = 9$ which is the case if and only if $|D| = |E| = |F| = 1$, again by comparing the s-degrees of the variables. Therefore, $q_Aq_Bq_C$ and $q_Dq_Eq_F$ must have at least a variable as a common factor, because K_4 has only 4 vertices, which is a contradiction. Hence $\deg(g) \neq 3$.

Altogether we obtain that $\deg(g) = 4$, which obviously implies that $g = f$ and $I_{K_4} = \langle f \rangle$, as we claimed. In particular, S_{K_4}/I_{K_4} is a complete intersection, it is minimally resolved by the Koszul complex, and $\operatorname{proj\,dim}_{S_{K_4}} S_{K_4}/I_{K_4} = 1$.

Example 7.2. We consider the graph K_5 on the vertex set $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Here, we show that $\operatorname{depth} \mathbb{K}[K_5] = 1$, which then implies that $\mathbb{K}[K_5]$ is not Cohen-Macaulay, according to the fact that $\dim \mathbb{K}[K_5] = 11$ by (2). This, in addition, implies that $\mathbb{K}[K_5]$ is not normal as well.

Let $T := \mathbb{K}[K_5]$. Note that $u_\emptyset$ is not a zero-divisor of T which is an integral domain. In order to see that $\operatorname{depth} T = 1$, it suffices to show that the unique graded maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of the standard graded $\mathbb{K}$-algebra T is an associated prime ideal of $T/\langle u_\emptyset \rangle$, or equivalently, that there exists an element f in T such that $f \notin \langle u_\emptyset \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \langle u_\emptyset \rangle :_T f$. We set $f := u_1u_2u_3u_4u_5$, and show that it has the desired properties. Observe that

$$u_1u_2u_3u_{45} = u_\emptyset u_{12}u_{13}u_{23}$$

and

$$u_1{}^2u_2u_3u_4u_5 = u_\emptyset{}^2u_{12}u_{13}u_{14}u_{15}.$$

Then, using the symmetry in K_5, we get the relations

$$u_iu_ju_ku_{\ell p} = u_\emptyset u_{ij}u_{ik}u_{jk} \tag{6}$$

and

$$u_i{}^2u_ju_ku_\ell u_p = u_\emptyset{}^2u_{ij}u_{ik}u_{i\ell}u_{ip}, \tag{7}$$

where $\{i, j, k, \ell, p\} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Hence, it follows by the relations given in (6) and (7) that $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \langle u_\emptyset \rangle :_T f$, because the generators of $\mathfrak{m}$ are the monomials $u_\emptyset$, u_i's and u_{jk}'s for $i, j, k \in V$.

Next, we show that $f \notin \langle u_\emptyset \rangle$. Suppose on the contrary that $f \in \langle u_\emptyset \rangle$. Then, since f is homogeneous with respect to multigrading, it follows that

$$f = u_\emptyset u_Au_Bu_Cu_D \tag{8}$$

for some subsets A, B, C, D of V. Now, we use the s-degrees as in Example 7.1. We have $\deg_s(u_\emptyset) = 0$, $\deg_s(u_i) = 4$, and $\deg_s(u_{jk}) = 6$ for distinct vertices $i, j, k \in V$.

Thus $\deg_s(f) = 20$, and hence according to (8) we get $\deg_s(u_A u_B u_C u_D) = 20$. This is the case if and only if, up to a relabeling, $|A| = |B| = 1$ and $|C| = |D| = 2$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $A = \{1\}$ and $B = \{2\}$. Then, it follows from (8), that $u_3u_4u_5 = u_\emptyset u_C u_D$, since T is an integral domain. But, the latter equality can not occur, because $s_{34}^2 s_{35}^2 s_{45}^2$ divides the left-hand side. Indeed, this means that the edges $\{3,4\}, \{3,5\}, \{4,5\}$ belong to $\mathrm{Cut}(C)$ as well as to $\mathrm{Cut}(D)$, which is impossible. Therefore, we deduce that $f \notin \langle u_\emptyset \rangle$, as desired. This yields $\mathfrak{m} = \langle u_\emptyset \rangle :_T f$. In particular, by the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula, we have

$$\operatorname{proj\,dim}_{S_{K_5}} S_{K_5}/I_{K_5} = 2^4 - \operatorname{depth} S_{K_5}/I_{K_5} = 15.$$

In Example 7.1, it was shown that I_{K_4} is a principal ideal, and in Example 7.2 some of the relations of $\mathbb{K}[K_5]$ were presented. Indeed, (6) and (7) give us a combinatorial description of fifteen relations of degree 4, and five relations of degree 6, respectively. Note that the number of minimal generators of I_{K_5} and I_{K_6}, respectively is known by [28, Table 1]. For $n \geq 7$, not much more is known to the knowledge of the authors. We would like to pose the following problem:

Problem 7.3. Is there any nice combinatorial description of the generators of the cut ideal I_{K_n} for $n \geq 5$? Moreover, it seems reasonable to investigate several algebraic properties of these ideals, as studied in the two cases here.

In the following we consider some other graphs with small number of vertices which have been used throughout the paper.

Example 7.4. (a) Let $G = P_3$ with $V(G) = \{1,2,3\}$, $E(G) = \{\{1,2\},\{2,3\}\}$. Note that by Proposition 3.3, we have that $\operatorname{proj\,dim}_{S_G} I_G = 0$, and hence I_G is a principal ideal. Moreover, it was observed in [28, Example 2.3] that

$$I_G = \langle q_\emptyset q_2 - q_1 q_{12} \rangle.$$

(b) Let $G = C_4$ with $V(G) = \{1,2,3,4\}$ and

$$E(G) = \{\{1,2\},\{2,3\},\{3,4\},\{1,4\}\}.$$

As it was mentioned in [28, Example 1.2], a computation shows that

$$I_G = \langle q_\emptyset q_{13} - q_1 q_3, q_\emptyset q_{13} - q_2 q_4, q_\emptyset q_{13} - q_{12} q_{14} \rangle$$

which defines a complete intersection.

(c) Let $G = K_2 \sharp_{K_1} K_3$ with $V(G) = \{1,2,3,4\}$ and

$$E(G) = \{\{1,2\},\{2,3\},\{3,4\},\{2,4\}\}.$$

Then a computation, e.g., with *Macaulay2* (see [16]), shows that the Betti diagram of S_G/I_G is the following which in particular shows that I_G has a 2-linear resolution:

```
            0     1     2     3
-------------------------------
0:          1     -     -     -
1:          -     6     8     3
-------------------------------
total:      1     6     8     3
```

(d) Let $G = K_4 \setminus e$. As it was observed in [28, page 693],

$$I_G = \langle q_\emptyset q_{14} - q_1 q_4, q_2 q_3 - q_{12} q_{13} \rangle$$

which defines a complete intersection.

(e) Let $G = K_5 \setminus e$. As it was mentioned in [28, Example 2.5], a computation, e.g., with *Macaulay2* (see [16]), shows that I_G has 35 minimal generators, and the Betti diagram of S_G/I_G is the following:

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
0:	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
1:	-	4	-	-	-	-	-
2:	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
3:	-	31	128	200	128	31	-
4:	-	-	-	-	6	-	-
5:	-	-	-	-	-	4	-
6:	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
total:	1	35	134	200	134	35	1

Finally we present a table summarizing some information about all the graphs up to five vertices which do not have any isolated vertices and have nonzero cut ideals. The list of such graphs is taken from [17, Appendix 1]. Here we order the graphs in terms of the number of vertices, and for those which have the same number of vertices, the order is based on the number of edges. Those graphs for which there is no well-known notation, are denoted by G_i for $i = 1, \ldots, 10$, and they are depicted in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1. Some graphs from Table 1

Beside the theoretical results, the data in Table 1 are based on computations by *CoCoA* (see [1]) and *Macaulay2* (see [16]). The terms used in the table for a graph $G = (V, E)$ are as follows:

- mindeg/maxdeg: the minimum/maximum degree in a minimal generating set of I_G;
- projdim/reg: $\operatorname{proj\,dim}_{S_G} I_G (= \operatorname{proj\,dim}_{S_G} S_G/I_G - 1)/\operatorname{reg}_{S_G} I_G$;

- CM/Nor./Gor./C.I./ N_1: Cohen-Macaulay/Normal/Gorenstein/ Complete intersection/property N_1;
- Y/N: Yes/No.

TABLE 1. Some properties and invariants of graphs (without isolated vertices) on $n \leq 5$ vertices.

Graph	$\|E\|$	mindeg	maxdeg	projdim	reg	CM	Nor.	Gor.	C.I.	N_1
P_3	2	2	2	0	2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
$2K_2$	2	1	2	4	2	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
P_4	3	2	2	3	3	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
$K_{1,3}$	3	2	2	3	3	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
$K_2 \sharp_{K_1} K_3$	4	2	2	2	2	Y	Y	N	N	Y
C_4	4	2	2	2	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
$K_4 \setminus e$	5	2	2	1	3	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
K_4	6	4	4	0	4	Y	Y	Y	Y	N
$K_2 \sqcup P_3$	3	1	2	11	3	Y	Y	Y	N	N
$K_2 \sqcup K_3$	4	1	2	10	2	Y	Y	N	N	N
P_5	4	2	2	10	4	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
$K_{1,4}$	4	2	2	10	4	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
G_1	4	2	2	10	4	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
G_2	5	2	2	9	3	Y	Y	N	N	Y
G_3	5	2	2	9	3	Y	Y	N	N	Y
G_4	5	2	2	9	3	Y	Y	N	N	Y
$K_2 \sharp_{K_1} C_4$	5	2	2	9	5	Y	Y	Y	N	N
C_5	5	2	2	9	4	Y	Y	N	N	N
$K_3 \sharp_{K_1} K_3$	6	2	2	8	4	Y	Y	Y	N	Y
G_5	6	2	2	8	4	Y	Y	N	N	N
G_6	6	2	2	8	4	Y	Y	N	N	N
$K_3 \sharp_{K_2} C_4$	6	2	2	8	5	Y	Y	N	N	N
$C_4 \sharp_{P_3} C_4$	6	2	2	8	6	Y	Y	Y	N	N
G_7	7	2	2	7	5	Y	Y	Y	N	N
G_8	7	2	2	7	5	Y	Y	Y	N	N
$K_2 \sharp_{K_1} K_4$	7	2	4	7	5	Y	Y	N	N	N
G_9	7	2	2	7	5	Y	Y	N	N	N
$K_3 \sharp_{K_2} K_4$	8	2	4	6	5	Y	Y	Y	N	N
G_{10}	8	2	2	6	6	Y	Y	N	N	N
$K_5 \setminus e$	9	2	4	5	7	Y	Y	Y	N	N
K_5	10	4	6	14	≥ 6	N	N	N	N	N

REFERENCES

[1] J. Abbott and A. M. Bigatti, *CoCoALib: a C++ library for doing Computations in Commutative Algebra*. Available at `http://cocoa.dima.unige.it/cocoalib`.

[2] F. Barahona and A. R. Mahjoub, *On the cut polytope*. Math. Programming **36** (1986), no. 2, 157–173.
[3] J. Brennan and G. Chen, *Toric geometry of series-parallel graphs*. SIAM J. Discrete Math. **23** (2009), no. 2, 754–764.
[4] W. Bruns, A. Conca and T. Römer, *Koszul homologies and syzygies of Veronese subalgebras*. Math. Ann. **351** (2011), no. 4, 761–779.
[5] W. Bruns and J. Gubeladze, *Polytopes, Rings, and K-Theory*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, 2009.
[6] W. Bruns, R. Hemmecke, B. Ichim, M. Köppe and C. Söger, *Challenging computations of Hilbert bases of cones associated with algebraic statistics*. Exp. Math. **20** (2011), no. 1, 25–33.
[7] D. Cox, J. Little and H. Schenck, *Toric varieties*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics **124**, American Mathematical Society, 2011.
[8] C. De Simone, *Lifting facets of the cut polytope*. Oper. Res. Lett. **9** (1990), no. 5, 341–344.
[9] M. Deza and M. Laurent, *The even and odd cut polytopes*. Discrete Math. **119** (1993), no. 1–3, 49–66.
[10] M. Deza and M. Laurent, *Geometry of cuts and metrics*. Algorithms and Combinatorics **15**, Springer, 1997.
[11] G. A. Dirac, *On rigid circuit graphs*. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **25** (1961), 71–76.
[12] A. Engström, *Cut ideals of K_4-minor free graphs are generated by quadrics*. Michigan Math. J. **60** (2011), no. 3, 705–714.
[13] N. Epstein and H. D. Nguyen, *Algebra retracts and Stanley-Reisner rings*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **218** (2014), no. 9, 1665–1682.
[14] I. Gitler, E. Reyes and R. Villarreal, *Ring graphs and complete intersection toric ideals*. Discrete Math. **310** (2010), no. 3, 430–441.
[15] I. Gitler, E. Reyes and R. Villarreal, *Ring graphs and toric ideals*. 6th Czech-Slovak International Symposium on Combinatorics, Graph Theory, Algorithms and Applications, 393–400, Electron. Notes Discrete Math. **28**, Elsevier, 2007.
[16] D. R. Grayson and M. E. Stillman, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*. Available at `http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/`.
[17] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*. Addison-Wesley, 1969.
[18] J. Herzog and T. Hibi, *Monomial ideals*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **260**, Springer, 2011.
[19] M. Hochster, *Rings of invariants of tori, Cohen-Macaulay rings generated by monomials, and polytopes*. Ann. of Math. (2) **96** (1972), 318–337.
[20] U. Nagel and S. Petrović, *Properties of cut ideals associated to ring graphs*. J. Commut. Algebra **1** (2009), no. 3, 547–565.
[21] J. Neto, *On the polyhedral structure of uniform cut polytopes*. Discrete Appl. Math. **175** (2014), 62–70.
[22] H. Ohsugi, *Normality of cut polytopes of graphs is a minor closed property*. Discrete Math. **310** (2010), no. 6–7, 1160–1166.
[23] H. Ohsugi, *Gorenstein cut polytopes*. European J. Combin. **38** (2014), 122–129.
[24] H. Ohsugi, J. Herzog and T. Hibi, *Combinatorial pure subrings*. Osaka J. Math. **37** (2000), no. 3, 745–757.
[25] A. Olteanu, *Monomial cut ideals*. Comm. Algebra **41** (2013), no. 3, 955–970.
[26] S. Potka and C. Sarmiento, *Betti numbers of cut ideals of trees*. J. Algebr. Stat. **4** (2013), no. 1, 108–117.
[27] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner Bases and Convex Polytopes*. University Lecture Series **8**, American Mathematical Society, 1996.
[28] B. Sturmfels and S. Sullivant, *Toric geometry of cuts and splits*. Michigan Math. J. **57** (2008), 689–709.
[29] X. Zheng, *Resolutions of facet ideals*. Comm. Algebra **32** (2004), no. 6, 2301–2324.

Tim Römer, Universität Osnabrück, Institut für Mathematik, 49069 Osnabrück, Germany
E-mail address: troemer@uni-osnabrueck.de

Sara Saeedi Madani, Universität Osnabrück, Institut für Mathematik, 49069 Osnabrück, Germany
E-mail address: sara.saeedimadani@uni-osnabrueck.de